

Mathematical Theory and Modeling
 ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online)
 Vol.2, No.2, 2012

www.iiste.org



On Generalized Dislocated Quasi Metrics

P Sumati Kumari

Department of Mathematics, FED – I, K L University, Green fields, Vaddeswaram, A.P,
 522502, India.

Email: mummy143143143@gmail.com

Abstract

The notion of dislocated quasi metric is a generalization of metric that retains, an analogue of the illustrious Banach's Contraction principle and has useful applications in the semantic analysis of logic programming. In this paper we introduce the concept of generalized dislocated quasi metric space. The purpose of this note is to study topological properties of a gdq metric, its connection with generalized dislocated metric space and to derive some fixed point theorems.

Keywords: Generalized dislocated metric, Generalized dislocated quasi metric, Contractive conditions, coincidence point, β -property.

Introduction: Pascal Hitzler [1] presented variants of Banach's Contraction principle for various modified forms of a metric space including dislocated metric space and applied them to semantic analysis of logic programs. In this context Hitzler raised some related questions on the topological aspects of dislocated metrics.

In this paper we establish the existence of a topology induced by a gdq metric, induce a generalized dislocated metric (D metric) from a gdq metric and prove that fixed point theorems for gdq metric spaces can be derived from their analogues for D metric spaces. We then prove a D metric version of Ćirić's fixed point theorem from which a good number of fixed point theorems can be deduced.

Definition 1.1: [2] Let binary operation $\diamond : R^+ \times R^+ \rightarrow R^+$ satisfies the following conditions:

(I) \diamond is Associative and Commutative,

(II) \diamond is continuous.

Five typical examples are $a \diamond b = \max\{a, b\}$, $a \diamond b = a + b$, $a \diamond b = ab$,

$$a \diamond b = ab + a + b \text{ and } a \diamond b = \frac{ab}{\max\{a, b, 1\}} \text{ for each } a, b \in R^+$$

Definition 1.2: [2] The binary operation \diamond is said to satisfy β -property if there exists a positive real number β such that $a \diamond b \leq \beta \max\{a, b\}$ for every $a, b \in R^+$.

Definition 1.3: Let X be a nonempty set. A generalized dislocated quasi metric (or gdq metric) on X is a function $gdq : X^2 \rightarrow R^+$ that satisfies the following conditions for each $x, y, z \in X$.

- (1) $gdq(x, y) \geq 0$,
- (2) $gdq(x, y) = 0$ implies $x = y$
- (3) $gdq(x, z) \leq gdq(x, y) \diamond gdq(y, z)$

The pair (X, gdq) is called a generalized dislocated quasi metric (or gdq metric) space.

If gdq satisfies $gdq(x, y) = gdq(y, x)$ also, then gdq is called generalized dislocated metric space.

Unless specified otherwise in what follows (X, gdq) stands for a gdq metric space.

Definition 1.4: If $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ is a net in X and $x \in X$ we say that $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ *gdq* converges to x and write $gdq \lim_{\alpha} x_\alpha = x$ if $\lim_{\alpha} gdq(x_\alpha, x) = \lim_{\alpha} gdq(x, x_\alpha) = 0$.i.e For each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_0 \Rightarrow gdq(x, x_\alpha) = gdq(x_\alpha, x) < \epsilon$. We also call x , *gdq* limit of (x_α) . We introduce the following

Definition 1.5: Let $A \subseteq X$. $x \in X$ is said to be a *gdq* limit point of A if there exists a net $(x_\alpha)_{\alpha \in \Delta}$ in X such that $gdq \lim_{\alpha} x_\alpha = x$.

Notation 1.6: Let $x \in X$, $A \subseteq X$ and $r > 0$, We write

$D(A) = \{x / x \in X \text{ and } x \text{ is a } gdq \text{ limit point of } A\}$.

$B_r(x) = \{y / y \in X \text{ and } \min\{gdq(x, y), gdq(y, x)\} < r\}$ and $V_r(x) = \{x\} \cup B_r(x)$.

Remark 1.7: $gdq \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} x_\alpha = x$ iff for every $\delta > 0$ there exists $\alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that $x_\alpha \in B_\delta(x)$ if $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$

Proposition 1.8: Let (X, gdq) be a *gdq* metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with $\beta > 0$.

If a net $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ in X *gdq* converges to x then x is unique.

Proof: Let $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ *gdq* converges to y and $y \neq x$

Since $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ *gdq* converges to x and y then for each $\epsilon > 0$ there exists $\alpha_1, \alpha_2 \in \Delta$ such that

for all $\alpha \geq \alpha_1 \Rightarrow gdq(x, x_\alpha) = gdq(x_\alpha, x) < \frac{\epsilon}{\beta}$ and $\alpha \geq \alpha_2 \Rightarrow gdq(y, x_\alpha) = gdq(x_\alpha, y) < \frac{\epsilon}{\beta}$

From triangular inequality we have, $gdq(x, y) \leq gdq(x, x_\alpha) \diamond gdq(x_\alpha, y)$

$$\leq \beta \max\{gdq(x, x_\alpha), gdq(x_\alpha, y)\}$$

$$< \beta \max\{\frac{\epsilon}{\beta}, \frac{\epsilon}{\beta}\} = \epsilon$$

Which is a contradiction.

Hence $gdq(x, y) = 0$ similarly $gdq(y, x) = 0$

$\therefore x = y$

Proposition 1.9: $x \in X$ is a *gdq* limit point of $A \subset X$ iff for every $r > 0$, $A \cap B_r(x) \neq \emptyset$

Proof: Suppose $x \in D(A)$. Then there exists a net $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ in A such that $x = gdq \lim_{\alpha} x_\alpha$.

If $r > 0, \exists \alpha_0 \in \Delta$ such that $B_r(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$ for $\alpha \geq \alpha_0$.

Conversely suppose that for every $r > 0$ $B_r(x) \cap A \neq \emptyset$.

Then for every positive integer n , there exists $x_n \in B_{\frac{1}{n}}(x) \cap A$ so that

$$gdq(x_n, x) < \frac{1}{n}, \quad gdq(x, x_n) < \frac{1}{n} \quad \text{and} \quad x_n \in A$$

Hence $gdq \lim_n gdq(x_n, x) = 0$ so that $x \in D(A)$

Theorem 1.10: Let (X, gdq) be a gdq metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with $\beta \leq 1$ and

$A \subseteq X$ and $B \subseteq X$ then

- i. $D(A) = \emptyset$ if $A = \emptyset$
- ii. $D(A) \subseteq D(B)$ if $A \subseteq B$
- iii. $D(D(A)) \subseteq D(A)$
- iv. $D(A \cup B) = D(A) \cup D(B)$

Proof: (i) and (ii) are clear. That $D(A) \cup D(B) \subseteq D(A \cup B)$ follows from (ii). To prove the reverse inclusion, let $x \in D(A \cup B)$, $x = gdq \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} (x_\alpha)$ where $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ is a net in $A \cup B$.

If $\exists \lambda \in \Delta$ such that $x_\alpha \in A$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$ and $\alpha \geq \lambda$ then $(x_\alpha / \alpha \geq \lambda, \alpha \in \Delta)$ is a cofinal subnet of $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ and $\lim_{\alpha \geq \lambda} gdq(x, x_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} gdq(x, x_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} gdq(x_\alpha, x) = \lim_{\alpha \geq \lambda} gdq(x_\alpha, x) = 0$

so that $x \in D(A)$. If no such λ exists in Δ then for every $\alpha \in \Delta$, choose $\beta(\alpha) \in \Delta$ such that $\beta(\alpha) \geq \alpha$ and $x_{\beta(\alpha)} \in B$. Then $(x_{\beta(\alpha)} / \alpha \in \Delta)$ is a cofinal subnet in B of $(x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta)$ and

$\lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} gdq(x_{\beta(\alpha)}, x) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} gdq(x_\alpha, x) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} gdq(x, x_\alpha) = \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} gdq(x, x_{\beta(\alpha)}) = 0$ so that

$x \in D(B)$. It now follows that $D(A \cup B) \subseteq D(A) \cup D(B)$ and hence (iii) holds. To prove (iv)

let $x \in D(D(A))$, $x = gdq \lim_{\alpha \in \Delta} x_\alpha$, $x_\alpha \in D(A)$ for $\alpha \in \Delta$, and $\forall \alpha \in \Delta$, let $(x_{\alpha(\beta)} / \beta \in \Delta(\alpha))$

be a net in A Such that $x_\alpha = gdq \lim_{\beta \in \Delta(\alpha)} x_{\alpha\beta}$. For each positive integer $i \exists \alpha_i \in \Delta$ such that

$$gdq(x_{\alpha_i}, x) = gdq(x, x_{\alpha_i}) < \frac{1}{i}, \text{ and } \beta_i \in \Delta(\alpha_i) \ni gdq(x_{\alpha_i\beta_i}, x_{\alpha_i}) = gdq(x_{\alpha_i}, x_{\alpha_i\beta_i}) < \frac{1}{i}.$$

If we write $\alpha_{i\beta_i} = \gamma_i \forall i$, then $\{\gamma_1, \gamma_2, \dots\}$ is directed set with $\gamma_i < \gamma_j$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{if } i < j, \quad gdq(x_{\gamma_i}, x) &\leq gdq(x_{\gamma_i}, x_{\alpha_i}) \diamond gdq(x_{\alpha_i}, x) \\ &\leq \beta \max\{gdq(x_{\gamma_i}, x_{\alpha_i}), gdq(x_{\alpha_i}, x)\} \\ &\leq gdq(x_{\gamma_i}, x_{\alpha_i}) + gdq(x_{\alpha_i}, x) \\ &< \frac{2}{i} \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $gdq(x, x_{\gamma_i}) < \frac{2}{i}$

Hence $x \in D(A)$.

Corollary 1.11: If we write $\bar{A} = A \cup D(A)$ for $A \subset X$ the operation $A \rightarrow \bar{A}$ satisfies Kurotawski's

Closure axioms[6] so that the set $\mathfrak{T} = \{A / A \subset X \text{ and } \bar{A}^C = A^C\}$ is a topology on X . We call (X, gdq, \mathfrak{T}) topological space induced by gdq . We call $A \subset X$ to be closed if $\bar{A} = A$ and open if $A \in \mathfrak{T}$.

Corollary 1.12: $A \subset X$ is open (i.e $A \in \mathfrak{T}$) iff for every $x \in A$ there exists $\delta > 0 \ni V_\delta(x) \subseteq A$

Proposition 1.13: Let (X, gdq) be a gdq metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with $\beta \leq 1$.

If $x \in X$ and $\delta > 0$ then $V_\delta(x)$ is an open set in (X, gdq, \mathfrak{T}) .

Proof: Let $y \in B_\delta(x)$ and $0 < r < \min\{\delta - d(x, y), \delta - d(y, x)\}$.

Then $B_r(y) \subset B_\delta(x) \subset A$, since $z \in B_r(y) \Rightarrow \min\{gdq(y, z), gdq(z, y)\} < r$

$$\Rightarrow gdq(y, z) < r$$

$$< \min\{\delta - gdq(x, y), \delta - gdq(y, x)\}$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} gdq(x, z) &\leq gdq(x, y) \diamond gdq(y, z) \\ &\leq \beta \max\{gdq(x, y), gdq(y, z)\} \\ &\leq gdq(x, y) + gdq(y, z) \\ &< \delta \end{aligned}$$

Similarly $gdq(z, x) < \delta$ therefore $z \in B_\delta(x)$

Hence $V_\delta(x)$ is open.

Proposition 1.14: Let (X, gdq) be a gdq metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with Then (X, gdq, \mathfrak{T}) is a Hausdorff space and first countable.

Proof: Suppose $x \neq y$ we have to find δ such that $A_\delta = (B_\delta(x) \cup \{x\}) \cap (B_\delta(y) \cup \{y\}) = \emptyset$

Since $x \neq y$. One of $gdq(x, y), gdq(y, x)$ is non zero. We may assume $gdq(y, x) > 0$

Choose $\delta > 0$ such that $2\delta < gdq(y, x)$. we show that $A_\delta = \emptyset$.

If $z \in A_\delta$ and $z = y, z \neq x$. $z \in B_\delta(x)$.

$$\Rightarrow gdq(z, x) < \delta$$

$$\Rightarrow gdq(y, x) < \delta < \frac{gdq(y, x)}{2}$$

which is a contradiction.

Similarly if $z \neq y$ and $z = x, z \notin A_\delta$

If $y \neq z \neq x$ then $gdq(y, x) \leq gdq(y, z) \diamond gdq(z, x)$

$$\leq \beta \max\{gdq(y, z), gdq(z, x)\}$$

$$\leq gdq(y, z) + gdq(z, x)$$

$$< 2\delta < gdq(y, x)$$

which is a contradiction.

Hence $A_\delta = \emptyset$

Hence (X, gdq, \mathfrak{T}) is a Hausdorff space.

If $x \in X$ then the collection $V_{\frac{1}{n}}(x)$ is base at x . Hence (X, gdq, \mathfrak{T}) is first countable.

Remark 1.15: Proposition 1.14 enables us to deal with sequences instead of nets.

Definition 1.16: A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X is a gdq Cauchy sequence if for every $\epsilon > 0$ there corresponds a positive integer $N_0 \ni gdq(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$ or $gdq(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$ whenever $n \geq N_0$ and $m \geq N_0$ ie $\min\{gdq(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon, gdq(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon\}$. And (X, gdq) is said to be gdq complete if every gdq Cauchy sequence in X is gdq convergent.

Result 1.17: Define $D(x, y) = gdq(x, y) \diamond gdq(y, x)$, where $a \diamond b = a + b$, for $a, b \in R^+$.

1. D is a generalized dislocated metric (D metric) on X .
2. For any $\{x_\alpha / \alpha \in \Delta\}$ in X and $x \in X$ $gdq \lim(x_\alpha) = x \Leftrightarrow D \lim(x_\alpha) = x$
3. X is a gdq Complete $\Leftrightarrow X$ is D complete.

Proof: (i) and (ii) are clear. we prove (iii)

Let X is a gdq Complete

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (X, D) and $\epsilon > 0$ then there exist a positive integer

$$\begin{aligned} n_0 \ni m, n \geq n_0. \quad \lim D(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon \\ \lim [gdq(x_n, x_m) \diamond gdq(x_m, x_n)] < \epsilon \\ \lim [gdq(x_n, x_m) + gdq(x_m, x_n)] < \epsilon \\ \min\{gdq(x_n, x_m), gdq(x_m, x_n)\} < \epsilon \end{aligned}$$

$\therefore \{x_n\}$ is a gdq Cauchy sequence.

Hence convergent.

$$\therefore \lim gdq(x_n, x) = \lim gdq(x, x_n) = 0$$

$$\therefore D(x_n, x) = 0$$

Hence X is D complete.

Conversely suppose that,

Let X is D complete.

Let $\{x_n\}$ be a gdq Cauchy sequence in X and $\epsilon > 0$ there exist a positive integer $n_0 \ni$

$$\min\{gdq(x_n, x_m), gdq(x_m, x_n)\} < \frac{\epsilon}{2}$$

$$gdq(x_n, x_m) + gdq(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$$

$$gdq(x_n, x_m) \diamond gdq(x_m, x_n) < \epsilon$$

$$D(x_n, x_m) < \epsilon$$

Hence $\{x_n\}$ be a Cauchy sequence in (X, D)

$$\therefore \text{there exist } x \text{ in } X \ni D \lim x_n = x \Rightarrow \lim D(x_n, x) = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim [gdq(x_n, x) + gdq(x, x_n)] = 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim gdq(x_n, x) = \lim gdq(x, x_n) = 0$$

Hence X is gdq D complete.

Remark: As a consequence of 1.17 we can derive a fixed point theorem for gdq metric space if we can prove the same for D metric space and derive the contractive inequality for D from gdq .

The D metric induced by a gdq metric on a set X is very useful in deriving fixed point theorems for self maps on (X, gdq) from their analogues for (X, D) . If a self map f on a gdq metric space (X, gdq) satisfies a contractive inequality $gdq(f(x), f(y)) \leq \Phi_{gdq}(x, y)$, Where Φ_{gdq} is a linear function of $\{gdq(u, v) / \{u, v\} \subseteq \{x, y, f(x), f(y)\}\}$ then f satisfies the contractive inequality $D(f(x), f(y)) \leq \Phi_D(x, y)$ Where Φ_D is obtained by replacing gdq in Φ_{gdq} by D .

B.E Rhodes[4] collected good number of contractive inequalities considered by various authors and established implications and nonimplications among them. We consider a few of them here.

Let (X, d) be a metric space, $x \in X, y \in Y, f$ a self map on X ,

And $a, b, c, h, a_1, a_2, a_3, a_4, a_5, \alpha, \beta, \gamma$ nonnegative real numbers (=constants), $a(x, y), b(x, y), c(x, y), p(x, y), q(x, y), r(x, y), s(x, y)$ and $t(x, y)$ be nonnegative real valued continuous function on $X \times X$.

1. (Banach): $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a d(x, y), 0 \leq a < 1$
2. (Kannan): $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a \{d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))\}, 0 \leq a < \frac{1}{2}$
3. (Bianchini): $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq h \max \{d(x, f(x)), d(y, f(y))\}, 0 \leq h < 1$
4. $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a d(x, f(x)) + b d(y, f(y)) + c d(x, y), a + b + c < 1$
5. $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a(x, y) d(x, f(x)) + b(x, y) d(y, f(y)) + c(x, y) d(x, y),$
 $\sup_{x, y \in X} \{a(x, y) + b(x, y) + c(x, y)\} < 1$
6. (Chatterjea): $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))], a < \frac{1}{2}$
7. $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq h \max [d(x, f(y)), d(y, f(x))], 0 \leq h < 1$
8. $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a d(x, f(y)) + b d(y, f(x)) + c d(x, y), a + b + c < 1$
9. $d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a(x, y) d(x, f(y)) + b(x, y) d(y, f(x)) + c(x, y) d(x, y),$
 $\sup_{x, y \in X} \{a(x, y) + b(x, y) + c(x, y)\} < 1$
10. (Hardy and Rogers):

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq a_1 d(x, y) + a_2 d(x, f(x)) + a_3 d(y, f(y)) + a_4 d(x, f(y)) + a_5 d(y, f(x)),$$

$$\sup_{x, y \in X} \left\{ \sum_i a_i(x, y) \right\} < 1 \quad \text{For every } x \neq y$$

11. (Zamfirescu): For each $x, y \in X$ at least one of the following is true:

$$\text{I. } d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha d(x, y), \quad 0 \leq \alpha < 1$$

$$\text{II. } d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \beta [d(x, f(x)) + d(y, f(y))] \quad , \quad 0 \leq \beta < \frac{1}{2}$$

$$\text{III. } d(f(x), f(y)) \leq \gamma [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))] \quad , \quad 0 \leq \gamma < \frac{1}{2}$$

12. (Ciric): For each $x, y \in X$

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq q(x, y) d(x, y) + r(x, y) d(x, f(x)) + s(x, y) d(y, f(y)) + t(x, y) [d(x, f(y)) + d(y, f(x))]$$

$$\sup_{x, y \in X} \{q(x, y) + r(x, y) + s(x, y) + 2t(x, y)\} \leq \lambda < 1$$

13. (Ciric): For each $x, y \in X$

$$d(f(x), f(y)) \leq h$$

$$\max\{d(x, y), d(x, f(x)), d(y, f(y)), d(x, f(y)), d(y, f(x))\},$$

$$0 \leq h < 1$$

B.E Rhoades[4] established the following implications among the above inequalities:

$$(2) \Rightarrow (3) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (12) \Rightarrow (13)$$

$$(2) \Rightarrow (4) \Rightarrow (5) \Rightarrow (12) \Rightarrow (13)$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow (7) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (13)$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow (8) \Rightarrow (9) \Rightarrow (13)$$

$$(6) \Rightarrow (10) \Rightarrow (11) \Rightarrow (12) \Rightarrow (13).$$

If d is a D metric instead of a metric, it is possible that $d(x, x) \neq 0$. As such these implications hold good in a D metric space as well when “ $x \neq y$ ” is replaced by “ $D(x, y) \neq 0$ ”. More over all these implications end up with (13). Thus a fixed point theorem for f satisfying the D metric version of Ciric’s Contraction principal (13) yields fixed point theorem for f satisfying the D metric version of other inequalities.

Moreover $d(x, f(x)) = 0 \Rightarrow f(x) = x$ when d is a metric. However “ $f(x) = x$ ” does not necessarily imply $d(x, f(x)) = 0$ where d is a D metric. We in fact prove the existence of x such that $D(x, f(x)) = 0$ which we call a coincidence point of f . We now prove the following analogue of Ciric’s Contraction principle.

2 Main Results

Theorem 2.1 : Let (X, D) be a complete D metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with $\beta \leq 1$, f a self map on X and $0 \leq h < 1$. If for all x, y with $D(x, y) \neq 0$.

$$D(f(x), f(y)) \leq h \max\{D(x, y), D(x, f(x)), D(x, f(y)), D(y, f(x)), D(y, f(y))\} \text{ ----} (*)$$

Then f has a unique coincidence point.

Proof: Assume that f satisfies (*).

For $x \in X$ and any positive integer n write $O(x, m) = \{x, f(x), \dots, f^m(x)\}$ and $\delta[O(x, m)] = \sup \{D(u, v) / \{u, v\} \subset O(x, m)\}$.

We first prove the following

Lemma[7]: For every positive integer ' m ' there exists a positive integer $k \leq m$ such that $\delta[O(x, m)] \leq D(x, f^k(x))$

Proof: To prove this it is enough if we prove that $\delta[O(x, m)] \leq \gamma_m$

Where $\gamma_m = \max \{D(x, x), \dots, D(x, f^m(x))\}$ _____(1)

We prove this by using Induction,

Assume that (1) is true for ' m ' i.e. $\delta[O(x, m)] \leq \gamma_m$

Now we have to prove for $m+1$ i.e. $\delta[O(x, m+1)] \leq \gamma_{m+1}$ _____(2)

We have $\delta[O(f(x), m)] \leq \max \{D(x, x), \dots, D(x, f^m(x)), D(x, f^{m+1}(x))\}$

Also $D(f^i(x), f^{m+1}(x)) \leq \max \{D(x, x), \dots, D(x, f^m(x)), D(f^{i-1}(x), f^{m+1}(x))\}$ _____(3)

$$\forall 1 \leq i \leq m$$

Hence $\delta[O(x, m+1)] = \sup \{D(f^i(x), f^j(x)) / 0 \leq i \leq j \leq m+1\},$

$$= \sup \{D(x, x), \dots, D(x, f^m(x)), D(x, f^{m+1}(x))\} \cup$$

$$\sup \{D(f^i(x), f^j(x)) / 0 \leq i \leq j \leq m+1\},$$

$$\leq \max \{D(x, x), \dots, D(x, f^m(x)), D(x, f^{m+1}(x)), \delta(O(f(x), m))\}$$

$$\leq \gamma_{m+1} \text{ from (1) and (3)}$$

Hence $\delta[O(x, m+1)] \leq \gamma_{m+1}$. This proves the lemma.

Proof of the Theorem:

If $1 \leq i \leq m, 1 \leq j \leq m$

$$D(f^i(x), f^j(y)) = D(f(f^{i-1}(x)), f(f^{j-1}(y)))$$

$$\leq h \max \{D(f^{i-1}(x), f^{j-1}(y)), D(f^{i-1}(x), f^i(x)), D(f^{i-1}(x), f^j(y)),$$

$$D(f^{j-1}(x), f^i(x)), D(f^{j-1}(x), f^j(x))\}$$

$$\leq h \delta[0(x, m)] \quad (1)$$

$$\text{Also } \delta[0(x, m)] \leq \max \{ D(f^{j-1}(x), f^j(x)), D(x, x), D(x, f(x)), \dots, D(x, f^m(x)) \} \quad (2)$$

If m, n are positive integers such that $m > n$ then by (1)

$$D(f^m(x), f^n(x)) = D(f^{m-n+1}(f^{n-1}(x)), f(f^{n-1}(x)))$$

$$\leq h \delta(0(f^{n-1}(x), m-n-1))$$

$$\leq h D(f^{n-1}(x), f^{k_1+n-1}(x)) \text{ for some } k_1; 0 \leq k_1 \leq m-n-1$$

(by above lemma)

$$\leq h^2 \delta(0(f^{n-2}(x), m-n+2))$$

$$\leq h^n \delta[0(x, m)]$$

By the lemma $\exists k \ni 0 \leq k \leq m$ and $\delta[0(x, m)] \leq D(x, f^k(x))$

Assume $k \geq 1$, $D(x, f^k(x)) \leq D(x, f(x)) \diamond D(f(x), f^k(x))$

$$\leq \beta \max\{ D(x, f(x)), D(f(x), f^k(x)) \}$$

$$\leq D(x, f(x)) + D(f(x), f^k(x))$$

$$\leq D(x, f(x)) + h \delta[0(x, m)]$$

$$\leq D(x, f(x)) + h D(x, f^k(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow D(x, f^k(x)) \leq \frac{1}{1-h} D(x, f(x))$$

If $k=0$, $\delta[0(x, m)] \leq D(x, x) \leq D(x, f(x)) \diamond D(f(x), x)$

$$\leq \beta \max\{ D(x, f(x)), D(f(x), x) \}$$

$$\leq D(x, f(x)) + D(f(x), x)$$

$$\leq D(x, f(x)) + h D(x, x)$$

$$\Rightarrow D(x, x) \leq \frac{1}{1-h} D(x, f(x))$$

Hence $D(f^m(x), f^n(x)) \leq h^n \delta[0(x, m)]$

$$\leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} D(x, f(x))$$

This is true for every $m > n$ Since $0 \leq h < 1$, $\lim h^n = 0$. Hence $\{ f^m(x) \}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, D) .

Since X is complete, $\exists z \in X$ so that $\lim f^n(x) = z$

We prove that $D(z, f(z)) = 0$

$$\begin{aligned} 0 \leq D(z, f(z)) &\leq D(z, f^{n+1}(z)) \diamond D(f^{n+1}(z), f(z)) \\ &\leq \beta \max \{ D(z, f^{n+1}(z)), D(f^{n+1}(z), f(z)) \} \\ &\leq D(z, f^{n+1}(z)) + D(f^{n+1}(z), f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

By continuity of f , $\lim D(f^{n+1}(z), f(z)) = 0$

Hence $D(z, f(z)) = 0$, hence z is a coincidence point of f .

Suppose z_1, z_2 are coincidence point of f then

$$D(z_1, z_1) = D(z_1, f(z_1)) = 0, \text{ similarly } D(z_2, z_2) = 0$$

If $D(z_1, z_2) \neq 0$. Then by (*),

$$\begin{aligned} D(z_1, z_2) &= D(f(z_1), f(z_2)) \\ &\leq h \max \{ D(z_1, z_2), D(z_1, f(z_1)), D(z_1, f(z_2)), D(z_2, f(z_1)), D(z_2, f(z_2)) \} \\ &\leq h D(z_1, z_2) \text{ a contradiction} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $D(z_1, z_2) = 0$. Hence $z_1 = z_2$. This completes the proof.

We now prove a fixed point theorem for a self map on a D metric space satisfying the analogue of (12).

Theorem 2.2: Let (X, D) be a complete D metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with $\beta \leq 1$ and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping such that there exist real numbers $\alpha, \beta_0, \gamma \ni 0, 0 \leq \alpha < \frac{1}{2}, 0 \leq \beta_0 < \frac{1}{2}, \gamma < \min \{ \frac{1}{4}, \frac{1}{2} - \alpha, \frac{1}{2} - \beta_0 \}$ satisfying at least one of the following for each $x, y \in X$

- i. $D(f(x), f(y)) \leq \alpha D(x, y)$
- ii. $D(f(x), f(y)) \leq \beta_0 \{ D(x, f(x)) \diamond D(y, f(y)) \}$
- iii. $D(f(x), f(y)) \leq \gamma \{ D(x, f(y)) \diamond D(y, f(x)) \}$

Then f has a unique coincidence point.

Proof: Putting $y = x$ in the above and $\delta = \max \{ 2\alpha, 2\beta_0, 2\gamma \}$ we get
 $D(f(x), f(x)) \leq \delta D(x, f(x))$

Again putting $y = f(x)$ in the above (i) (ii) (iii) yield

$$D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq 2\alpha D(x, f(x))$$

$$D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \frac{\beta_0}{1-\beta_0} D(x, f(x))$$

$$D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \frac{\gamma + \delta}{1-\gamma} D(x, f(x))$$

If $h = \max\{2\alpha, \frac{\beta_0}{1-\beta_0}, \frac{\gamma + \delta}{1-\gamma}\}$ then $0 \leq h < 1$ and

$$D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq h D(x, f(x))$$

If m, n are positive integers such that $m > n$ then we can show that

$$D(f^m(x), f^n(x)) \leq \frac{h^n}{1-h} D(x, f(x))$$

since $0 \leq h < 1$; $\lim h^n = 0$

Hence $\{f^n(x)\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in (X, D) .

Since X is complete, $\exists z$ in X $\ni \lim_n f^n(x) = z$

Since f is continuous, $\lim f^{n+1}(x) = f(z)$ in (X, D) .

$$\begin{aligned} \text{since } 0 \leq D(z, f(z)) &\leq D(z, f^{n+1}(x)) \diamond D(f^{n+1}(x), f(z)) \\ &\leq \beta \max\{D(z, f^{n+1}(x)), D(f^{n+1}(x), f(z))\} \\ &\leq D(z, f^{n+1}(x)) + D(f^{n+1}(x), f(z)) \end{aligned}$$

It follows that $D(z, f(z)) = 0$, Hence z is a Coincidence point of f .

Uniqueness : If z_1, z_2 are coincidence points of f then by hypothesis,

Either $D(z_1, z_2) \leq \alpha D(z_1, z_2)$ or $0 \leq 2\gamma D(z_1, z_2)$

Since $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{2}$ and $0 < \alpha < \frac{1}{4}$ we must have $D(z_1, z_2) = 0$

Hence $z_1 = z_2$. This completes the proof.

The D metric version for the contractive inequality (10) in the modified form (**) given below yields the following

Theorem 2.3 : Let (X, D) be a complete D metric space such that \diamond satisfies β -property with $\beta \leq 1$ and $f : X \rightarrow X$ be a continuous mapping. Assume that there exist non-negative constants a_i satisfying $a_1 + a_2 + a_3 + 2a_4 + 2a_5 < 1$ such that for each $x, y \in X$ with $x \neq y$

$$\begin{aligned} D(f(x), f(y)) &\leq a_1 D(x, y) \diamond a_2 D(x, f(x)) \diamond a_3 D(y, f(y)) \diamond a_4 D(x, f(y)) \diamond a_5 \\ &D(y, f(x)) \end{aligned}$$

----(**). Then f has a unique coincidence point.

Proof:

Consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(f(x), f^2(x)) &\leq a_1 D(x, f(x)) \diamond a_2 D(x, f(x)) \diamond a_3 D(f(x), f^2(x)) \diamond a_4 D(x, f^2(x)) \diamond a_5 D(f(x), f(x)) \\
 &\leq \beta \max \{a_1 D(x, f(x)), a_2 D(x, f(x)), a_3 D(f(x), f^2(x)), a_4 D(x, f^2(x)), a_5 D(f(x), f(x))\} \\
 &\leq a_1 D(x, f(x)) + a_2 D(x, f(x)) + a_3 D(f(x), f^2(x)) + a_4 D(x, f^2(x)) + a_5 D(f(x), f(x)) \\
 &= (a_1 + a_2) D(x, f(x)) + a_3 D(f(x), f^2(x)) + a_4 D(x, f^2(x)) + 2a_5 D(x, f(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$(\because D(f(x), f(x)) \leq D(x, f(x)) + D(f(x), x))$$

\Rightarrow

$$D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \left[\frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_5}{1 - a_3} \right] D(x, f(x)) + \left[\frac{a_4}{1 - a_3} \right] D(x, f(x)) + \left[\frac{a_4}{1 - a_3} \right]$$

$$D(f(x), f^2(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \left[\frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_5 + a_4}{1 - a_3 - a_4} \right] D(x, f(x))$$

$$\Rightarrow D(f(x), f^2(x)) \leq \beta D(x, f(x)) \quad \text{where } \beta = \left[\frac{a_1 + a_2 + 2a_5 + a_4}{1 - a_3 - a_4} \right], \quad 0 < \beta < 1$$

If $m > n$ then

$$\begin{aligned}
 D(f^n(x), f^m(x)) &\leq D(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)) \diamond D(f^{n+1}(x), f^{n+2}(x)) \diamond \dots \diamond D(f^{m-1}(x), f^m(x)) \\
 &\leq \beta \max \{D(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)), D(f^{n+1}(x), f^{n+2}(x)), \dots, D(f^{m-1}(x), f^m(x))\} \\
 &\leq D(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x)) + D(f^{n+1}(x), f^{n+2}(x)) + \dots + D(f^{m-1}(x), f^m(x))
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\leq (\beta^n + \beta^{n+1} + \dots + \beta^{m-1}) D(x, f(x))$$

$$= \beta^n (1 + \beta + \beta^2 + \dots + \beta^{m-n-1}) D(x, f(x))$$

$$< \frac{\beta^n}{1 - \beta} D(x, f(x))$$

Hence $\{f^n(x)\}$ is Cauchy sequence in (X, D) , hence convergent.

Let $\xi = \lim_n (f^n(x))$ then $f(\xi) = \lim_n (f^{n+1}(x))$ (since f is continuous)

So $D(\xi, f(\xi)) = \lim_n D(f^n(x), f^{n+1}(x))$

$$\leq \lim_n \frac{\beta^n}{1-\beta} D(x, f(x))$$

Since $0 < \beta < 1$, $D(\xi, f(\xi))=0$. Hence $f(\xi)=\xi$.

Hence ξ is a coincidence point for f .

Uniqueness: If $D(\xi, f(\xi)) = D(\eta, f(\eta)) = 0$

$$\Rightarrow f(\xi)=\xi \text{ and } f(\eta)=\eta$$

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} D(\xi, \eta) &= D(f(\xi), f(\eta)) \leq a_1 D(\xi, \eta) \diamond a_2 D(\xi, f(\xi)) \diamond a_3 D(\eta, f(\eta)) \diamond a_4 D(\xi, f(\eta)) \diamond a_5 D(\eta, f(\xi)) \\ &\leq \beta \max\{a_1 D(\xi, \eta), a_2 D(\xi, f(\xi)), a_3 D(\eta, f(\eta)), a_4 D(\xi, f(\eta)), a_5 D(\eta, f(\xi))\} \\ &\leq a_1 D(\xi, \eta) + a_2 D(\xi, f(\xi)) + a_3 D(\eta, f(\eta)) + a_4 D(\xi, f(\eta)) + a_5 D(\eta, f(\xi)) \\ &\leq \gamma D(\xi, \eta) \quad \text{where } \gamma = a_1 + a_4 + a_5 < 1 \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow D(\xi, \eta) = 0 \quad \text{Hence } \xi = \eta$$

Acknowledgement: The author is grateful to **Dr. I.Ramabhadra Sarma** for his valuable comments and suggestions.

References:

- [1] Pascal Hitzler: Generalised metrices and topology in logic programming semantics, Ph. D Thesis, 2001.
- [2] S.Sedghi: fixed point theorems for four mappings in d*-metric spaces, Thai journal of mathematics, vol 7(2009) November 1:9-19
- [3] S.G. Matthews: Metric domains for completeness, Technical report 76, Department of computer science, University of Warwick, U.K, Ph.D Thesis 1985.
- [4] B.E.Rhoades : A comparison of various definitions of contractive mappings, Trans of the Amer.Math.Society vol 226(1977) 257-290.
- [5] V.M.Sehgal : On fixed and periodic points for a class of mappings, journal of the London mathematical society (2), 5, (1972) 571-576.
- [6] J. L. Kelley. General topology. D. Van Nostrand Company, Inc., 1960.
- [7] Lj. B. Ćirić : A Generalisation of Banach's Contraction Principle, American Mathematical Society, volume 45, Number 2, August 1974.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

